

To: Owens, Kim[Owens.Kim@epa.gov]; Croxton, Dave[Croxton.David@epa.gov]; Rueda, Helen[Rueda.Helen@epa.gov]; Cope, Ben[Cope.Ben@epa.gov]
From: Owens, Kim
Sent: Wed 8/21/2013 11:50:31 PM
Subject: RE: draft agenda for 8/28--edits?

p.s. For an internal agenda/script, each of these items seems to be fleshed out pretty well in the script we've been working on. The relevant paragraphs would seem to slot nicely into this agenda if we want to provide more detail for HQ.

From: Owens, Kim
Sent: Wednesday, August 21, 2013 4:35 PM
To: Croxton, Dave; Rueda, Helen; Cope, Ben
Subject: RE: draft agenda for 8/28--edits?

I would not give the parties two opportunities to present their interests. I'd keep the agenda and the parties more focused on responding to EPA's specific discussion of the technical issues. How about something like the proposal below?

From: Croxton, Dave
Sent: Wednesday, August 21, 2013 3:21 PM
To: Owens, Kim; Cope, Ben; Rueda, Helen
Subject: draft agenda for 8/28--edits?

Pend Oreille River Temperature TMDL Status Meeting

Seattle, WA • August 28, 2013

EPA, Room 12B or 15U

-

Draft Agenda:

-

· Introductions

- Statement of Meeting Objectives and BackgroundEPA
- Short statements by Tribe, Ecology if desired
- EPA Presentation of ~~Discussion~~ of Technical Issues: CFA, Time-lag, Border – including discussion of EPA analyses provided to Ecology and the Tribe on **date** and **date**, respectively [**KO note – consider whether we want to break these out in detail to tee up the issues with even more specificity**].
- ~~Statement of parties' interests~~ Discussion and feedback on technical issues from Tribe and Ecology
- Identification of potential solutions and path forward
- Next steps